

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/863,794 05/23/2001		05/23/2001	Kenneth A. Krupa	KRU-3.2.001/3430	9780		
35437	7590	02/08/2005	EXAMINER				
MINTZ LE 666 THIRD		OHN FERRIS GLO	LU, KI	LU, KUEN S			
NEW YORK		_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2167	-		
				DATE MAIL ED. 02/09/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/863,794	KRUPA, KENNETH A.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Kuen S Lu	2167			

		Kuen S Lu		2167					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	vith the	correspondence add	ress				
THE RE	PLY FILED 18 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CON	DITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. ⊠ Th ap ap Re	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing plicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) plication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App quest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	g a Notice of Appeal. To a an amendment, affidavit, peal (with appeal fee) in co	void aba or other omplianc	ndonment of this app evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a				
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set an SIX MONTHS from the mai ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE	ling date o	of the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
2. Th wa Ap	e reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App s filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 peal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 C peal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	1.37 must be filed within t CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dis	two mon	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of				
(a)	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search			because				
	 ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 		-		the issues for				
(u)	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	•	illially is	sjected claims.					
	ne amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice	of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).				
	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s								
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a	separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. 🛭 Fo ho Th	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we the new or amended claims would be rejected is programmes of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: aim(s) allowed:			vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Cla	aim(s) objected to:								
	aim(s) rejected: <u>7-25</u> . aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
be	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
en sh	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to d be be be a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections un ry and was not earlier pres	der appe sented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ils to provide a (1).				
	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the clair	ms after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
	he request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the app	olication	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
	lote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-144	9) Paper	No(s)					

Application/Control Number: 09/863,794

1. This is a continuation of PTO-303.

Art Unit: 2167

Application No. 09/863,794.

Page 2

2. Adopting the Examiner's suggestion during the telephone interview conducted on

November 10, 2004, the Applicant's proposed amendments filed on November 18,

2004, is acknowledged. However, the new issues "wherein the identifier identifies the

XML document against another XML documents" introduced to amend the finally

rejected claims 7 and 17-18, and "incrementing the sequence identifier subsequent to

creating the row of the relational database" introduced to amend the finally rejected

claims 13 and 16, require a new search, as set forth in the interview, which is more than

a cursory review by the Examiner, please see MPEP ξ 714.13.

3. Based on he above consideration, regarding Applicant's Remarks, the Applicant's

arguments have been considered carefully, however, the rejections is maintained as set

forth on the Final Action 6/21/2004.

Kuen S. Lu

Patent Examiner

February 6, 2005

Luke Wassum

Primary Examiner

February 6, 2005